

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS**

DAKOTA HOLLAND, #20061896,)	
)	
Plaintiff,)	
)	
vs.)	Case No. 21-cv-00128-JPG
)	
NATHAN TALL,)	
)	
Defendant.)	

ORDER DISMISSING CASE

GILBERT, District Judge:

On February 4, 2021, Plaintiff Dakota Holland filed this civil rights action *pro se* pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. (Doc. 1). On the same date, the Court entered a Notice and Order advising Plaintiff of his ongoing obligation to notify the Court of any address changes within seven (7) days of the change. (Doc. 3). The Order states, “[Y]ou are advised that if your address changes, you must notify the Court within seven days of the change by filing a Notice of Change of Address. Failure to do so could result in the dismissal of your case.” (*Id.*). Plaintiff was again reminded of his continuing obligation to advise the Court of any address changes in the Order for Service of Process entered April 16, 2021. (Doc. 13, p. 8).

After the Court screened the Complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A, Plaintiff’s mail was returned to the Court undeliverable in early May 2021. (*See* Docs. 15 and 18). Therefore, on May 10, 2021, the Court entered the following show cause order:

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE: Plaintiff was advised of his continuing obligation to keep the Clerk of Court informed of any change in his address and that failure to timely update his address could result in dismissal of this action for want of prosecution. (*See* Docs. 3 and 13). One or more documents mailed to Plaintiff by the Court has been returned undeliverable. (*See, e.g.,* Docs. 15 and 18). Plaintiff is hereby ORDERED to SHOW CAUSE on or before MAY 21, 2021, why this action should not be dismissed based on his failure to comply with the Court’s Orders at Docs. 3 and 13 to update his address and for

failure to prosecute his claims. FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b). Plaintiff is WARNED that failure to respond to this Order will result in dismissal of the action with prejudice. The Clerk is DIRECTED to transmit a copy of this Order to Show Cause to Plaintiff at the address he most recently provided to the Court.

(Doc. 19). To comply with the show cause order, Plaintiff was required to update his address and/or explain why this case should not be dismissed for non-compliance with the Order. (*Id.*). The deadline for doing so was May 21, 2021.

That deadline has now passed, and Plaintiff has failed to notify the Court of his address change. He has also failed to respond to the show cause order. The Court has since received additional items addressed to Plaintiff and returned to the Court undeliverable. (*See* Docs. 13, 14, and 20). The Court will not allow this matter to linger indefinitely. This action will be dismissed with prejudice based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Orders at Documents 3 and 13 and the Show Cause Order at Document 19. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b). The dismissal shall not count as one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of 28 U.S.C. § 1915(g).

Disposition

IT IS ORDERED that this action is **DISMISSED** with prejudice, based on Plaintiff's failure to comply with the Court's Orders at Documents 3 and 13 to notify the Court of any address changes, to comply with the Court's Order to Show Cause at Document 19, and to prosecute his claims in this case. *See* FED. R. CIV. P. 41(b); *Ladien v. Astrachan*, 128 F.3d 1051 (7th Cir. 1997); *Johnson v. Kamminga*, 34 F.3d 466 (7th Cir. 1994). This dismissal does not count as one of Plaintiff's three allotted "strikes" within the meaning of Section 1915(g).

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff's obligation to pay the filing fee for this action was incurred at the time the action was filed, regardless of subsequent developments in the case. Accordingly, the filing fee of \$350.00 remains due and payable. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1915(b)(1); *Lucien v. Jockisch*, 133 F.3d 464, 467 (7th Cir. 1998).

If Plaintiff wishes to appeal this Order, he may file a notice of appeal with this Court within thirty days of the entry of judgment. FED. R. APP. 4(A)(4). If Plaintiff does choose to appeal, he will be liable for the \$505.00 appellate filing fee irrespective of the outcome of the appeal. *See* FED. R. APP. 3(e); 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *Ammons v. Gerlinger*, 547 F.3d 724, 725-26 (7th Cir. 2008); *Sloan v. Lesza*, 181 F.3d 857, 858-59 (7th Cir. 1999); *Lucien*, 133 F.3d at 467. Moreover, if the appeal is found to be nonmeritorious, Plaintiff may incur a “strike.” A proper and timely motion filed pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 59(e) may toll the 30-day appeal deadline. FED. R. APP. P. 4(a)(4). A Rule 59(e) motion must be filed no more than twenty-eight (28) days after the entry of the judgment, and this 28-day deadline cannot be extended.

The Clerk’s Office is **DIRECTED** to close this case and enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DA21D: 5/28/2021

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
United States District Judge